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The microbiota is recognised as one of the most important factors that can worsen the clinical conditions of patients who are already very frail in the intensive care unit. It also plays a crucial role in the prevention of ICU associated complications. It’s important to ensure the best functioning of the intestinal immune system.

The gastrointestinal tract represents one of the barriers between the external environment and the human body. This organ is composed of a wide variety of cells devoted to keep the intestine in balance (McDermott and Huffnagle 2014; Abreu 2010; del Rio et al. 2010; Sun et al. 2007). The body’s microbial composition directly influences the maturation of the immune response by GALT - Gut-Associated Lymphoid Tissue (Abreu 2010; del Rio 2010; Sun et al. 2007); and its continued effectiveness, protects against pathogen overgrowth, and modulates the balance between inflammation and immune homeostasis (Lynch and Pedersen 2016; Zhang and Frenette 2019).

Sepsis can have a considerable impact on gastrointestinal function, indeed altered permeability and subsidence of normal intestinal flora can lead to systemic infection (Dickson 2015) such as neuropsychiatric disorders, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), functional gastrointestinal (GI) disorders, cardiovascular disease, liver disease (Longhitano et al. 2020; Nakov et al. 2020) but also multiorgan failure (MOF) and severe sepsis.

The physiological mechanisms altered during hospitalisation in the ICU are responsible for:

- the growth of the pathobiote: colonisation by virulent bacteria;
- hypoperfusion of the intestinal tract leading to the massive release of nitrates and free oxygen radicals;
- the slow transit of faecal material due to various drugs (e.g. antibiotics, intravenous sedatives, opioids, catecholamines) causing a decrease in the normal turnover of about a trillion bacteria (Vincent et al. 2009);
- drugs such as proton pump inhibitors that change the chemical and physical characteristics of intestinal mucous, which neutralises gastric pH and reduces the velocity of gastric emptying (Marshall et al. 1993).

All this leads to the intestine colonisation by the Proteobacteria phylum (the most important are Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli and other minor gram-negative bacteria); also, other bacteria belonging to Firmicutes phylum (Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus spp and gram-positive bacteria such as Clostridium difficile) can colonise the intestine (Gootjans et al. 2010). The presence of the pathological bacteria described above (Marshall et
al. 1993) is predictive of the development of MOF and systemic infections. Recently, the contribution of the microbiome in the development of coronary artery disease (CAD) has also been reported (Piccioni et al. 2021).

In addition to the above mentioned predisposing factors, the occurrence of contamination of the pulmonary ecosystem is also observed in intensive care patients as a result of the decrease in tussigenic stimulus, the migration of bacteria due to contiguity (Sands et al. 2017) through the orotracheal tube and the effect of micro-aspiration of bacteria from the oral cavity (Dickson et al. 2015; Gleeson et al. 1997; Segal et al. 2013; Sekizawa et al. 1990). All this leads to a subversion of the normal oral flora and leads to its replacement by pathogenic bacteria, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumoniae.

It is obvious that one of the principal therapeutic goals in the ICU is to counterbalance dysbiosis. A number of treatment plans have been proposed: the use of probiotics in patients admitted to the ICU (Hill et al. 2014), faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) (Fischer et al. 2017; Ianiro et al. 2017) and combination therapies that can help preserve mucosal integrity.

The use of probiotics as co-adjuvants, especially in intensive care patients, is rising exponentially thanks to studies conducted over the last 20 years, which show a statistically significant reduction in infections, in the incidence of VAP and, not least at all, in overall mortality. A very recent 2020 review by Lukovic et al. (2019) highlighted some strategies that have been applied to reduce the risk of developing ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) such as oral decontamination, ETT impregnated with antimicrobials or silver, and probiotics (Spreadborough et al. 2016; Kollef et al. 2008; Muscedere et al. 2011; Manzaneres et al. 2016).

Also symbiotics (e.g. bifidobacterium breve strain yakult, lactobacillus casei strain Shirata, and galacto-oligosaccharidies) are used in intensive care patients to prevent the development of sepsis. Conceptually they are a combination of prebiotics and probiotics and can be considered as enhancing compounds between the two and could be the best initial treatment during the conditions of altered homeostasis of the intestinal flora (Shimizu et al. 2018).

A new strategy in the treatment of chronic diarrhoea due to Clostridium difficile infection is FMT which has shown very strong results (Cammarota et al. 2017). The microbiota is recognised as one of the most important factors that can worsen the clinical conditions of patients who are already very frail in the intensive care unit. At the same time, the microbiota also plays a crucial role in the prevention of ICU associated complications. It’s very important to use little but solid knowledge we have on the microbiome to ensure the best functioning of the intestinal immune system.

Also Rello et al. (2021) explained in their editorial the relation between pneumonia and dysbiosis that the traditional paradigm of VAP (that it is a disease caused by a single bacterial pathogen acquired through microaspiration) needs to be replaced by a hypothetical model in which VAP would be associated with dysbiosis. The gut microbiome contributes to protect against opportunistic pathogens. Enriching the microbiota with members of the phylum Proteobacteria, which are considered commensals, increases serum IgA levels. Thus, lung dysbiosis combined with gut dysbiosis might induce local immunosuppression and lung dysfunction, facilitating the occurrence of VAP. The role of the Th17 response provoked by segmented filamentous bacteria, which provides protection from staphylococcal pneumonia, seems crucial. These observations are not only of academic interest. Early identification of patients with dysbiosis associated with a higher risk of developing VAP is an unmet clinical need, and this should lead to innovative, targeted preventive strategies (Cammarota et al. 2017).
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