Nurse Shortages Linked to Higher Mortality in English Hospital

Hospitals depend on round-the-clock nursing teams to monitor patients, deliver treatment and coordinate care. When planned staffing falls short, the impact can be immediate and serious. Analysis of ward-level staffing and patient outcomes in a large English National Health Service hospital links shortfalls of degree-qualified registered nurses (RNs) to higher inpatient mortality and greater transfers to intensive care. By contrast, shortages of less qualified nursing assistants (NAs) show no discernible effect on mortality. The study used detailed, high-frequency data on 52 adult inpatient wards across one calendar year, aligning daily nurse rosters to patient records to assess how deviations from pre-planned staffing relate to outcomes. The findings underscore the value of RN qualifications and hospital-specific experience, and indicate which patients are most affected when teams are thinly staffed.

Ward-Level Shortfalls and Who Is Missing Matter

Staffing in the hospital was planned several months ahead using the Safer Nursing Care Tool (SNCT), which sets ward-specific targets based on patient acuity and dependency. Actual coverage was then measured each day as a fill rate, calculated as worked hours divided by planned hours. Across 18,922 ward-days, the average team achieved a 95.7% fill rate, leaving roughly 8 planned hours unfilled per day, and more than half of teams recorded some shortfall. Registered nurses accounted for most planned hours, with band 5 staff making up over two thirds of RN time and bands 7–8 around 5%. Even with careful planning, day-to-day variation within wards in both level and composition of staffing was substantial.

Must Read: Integrated Nursing Care for Dual Diagnosis Patients

Shortages of RNs had clear consequences. For the average ward, the absence of a degree-qualified RN increased the odds of a patient death by about 10%. The effect grew with the size of the shortfall in RN hours. In contrast, shortfalls of NAs did not affect mortality. Tests of potential confounding factors supported a causal interpretation at the ward-day level: staffing deviations showed no relationship with predicted mortality based on observed patient severity, there was no evidence of systematic patient reallocation across wards in response to staffing shocks, and prior-day deaths did not meaningfully shift subsequent staffing. The analysis also found little evidence of dynamic spillovers across teams or lagged effects from earlier short-staffing.

Experience Within the Hospital Reduces Risk

Beyond numbers and grades, experience within the employing hospital played a distinct role. Holding team size and skill mix constant, an additional year of hospital-specific experience among RNs was associated with an 8% reduction in the odds of a patient death, a benefit comparable to adding three-quarters of an extra qualified nurse to the ward. This points to returns to firm-specific human capital in settings where team coordination, local protocols and familiarity with systems are integral to safe care.

By comparison, no mortality benefit was detected from hospital-specific experience among NAs. Familiarity with a given ward or repeated co-working with the same teammates did not show significant additional effects for either staff group once overall hospital tenure and staffing mix were accounted for. These patterns align with the different scopes of responsibility: RNs undertake tasks requiring formal training, independent judgement and the rapid escalation of concerns, whereas NAs focus on basic patient support tasks that are important but less likely to influence acute survival.

Impacts Concentrated in Sepsis and Lower Severity Patients

The mortality impact of RN shortages was concentrated among patients with relatively lower clinical severity as measured by a standard comorbidity index. The largest effects appeared in patients with a diagnosis of sepsis, a condition where early detection of deterioration and timely escalation are critical and where nurses are central to monitoring, recognition and prompt initiation of treatment pathways. These findings suggest that missed or delayed assessments tied to shortfalls in degree-qualified nursing may be a key mechanism.

Consistent patterns emerged in other nurse-sensitive outcomes. RN shortages increased the probability of transfers from ward to Intensive Care Unit (ICU), indicating greater deterioration requiring higher-level care. There was no detectable effect of staffing shortfalls on reported patient falls. Readmissions and length of stay were analysed separately at different aggregation levels; results on length of stay were mixed, whereas the core mortality and ICU transfer associations at the ward-day level were robust. Descriptively, the average ward cared for about 21 patients per day, the mean age was 64 years, approximately 46% were female and a broad range of comorbidity burden was present, providing ample variation to study nurse-sensitive outcomes.

Daily gaps between planned and worked RN hours in an English hospital were associated with higher ward-level mortality and more ICU transfers, while NA shortages showed no such effects. Additional hospital-specific experience among RNs correlated with lower mortality, highlighting the value of retaining and developing qualified nurses who know local systems and teams. The concentration of impacts in sepsis and among patients with lower baseline severity points to the importance of continuous monitoring and rapid escalation that degree-qualified nurses provide. For clinical managers, these results reinforce the need to prioritise RN staffing against ward-specific targets and to protect the accumulation of hospital-specific experience as a core component of patient safety.

Source: **Journal of Health Economics**

Image Credit: **iStock**

Published on : Tue, 2 Dec 2025