Keeping A Fine Balance Between Cost and Quality In Healthcare

Cost saving strategies vary from one health system to the other, but experts in the U.S. say close monitoring of labour costs is one of the most important areas that can affect an organisation’s finance structure.

Strategies abound for controlling a system’s labour costs, but the first step is often a relatively simple one: settling clear goals and expectations, writes Jeff Lagasse, associate editor of Healthcare Finance.

Jay Spence, vice president of solutions marketing at management consulting firm Kaufman Hall, said these goals are often established as part of a broader strategic and financial planning exercise, and translate into higher-level labour ratios. These can be used to help guide additional budgetary targets, which in turn can promote accountability in manager-level positions.

“On an ongoing basis, HR can support finance leaders by partnering with them on programmes that address areas where cost-saving measures can be implemented and evaluated,” said Spence. HR, he said, can also partner with operational managers to ensure those measures don’t adversely affect quality of care, or erode morale for existing employees.

Accountability matters, and a finance department can help promote it, said Spence, educating managers on various cost realities. And since managers influence staffing decisions -- and ultimately, labour utilisation and costs -- any proposed reductions should be evaluated against some common sense criteria: what’s reasonable, what’s achievable, and what’s sustainable.

For sustained results, reports and analytics should monitor whether the organisation is on track to meet its labour cost and efficiency goals, and to keep tabs on what’s been achieved to date in some of the key labour management initiatives.

“Because labour is the largest cost in most healthcare provider organisations, it is also viewed as the most controllable,” said Spence. “The reality of healthcare reform is that while continuous cost improvement is important, it can't be done in a way that sacrifices the quality of care or patient satisfaction. Poor quality can have a direct correlation to financial returns.”

For Mark Meyer, chief financial officer for the Georgia-based Grady Health System, providing high quality at the leanest possible cost is all about efficiency. Meyer, who will be speaking about labour cost management at the upcoming Healthcare Financial Management Association conference in Las Vegas, said that in revenue cycle worlds -- admissions, billing, follow-up and analysis -- efficiency is best achieved through technology.

To highlight this assertion, Meyer cited a technology, recently implemented at Grady, that types in registration
information for patients automatically, and then sends it to the insurer, which in turn automatically verifies that
the insurance is in place. At no point in the process is there any human interaction; Meyer said Grady is one of
the first health systems to adopt this approach.

Of course, as with any technological approach, there are limitations.

“Technology is the leading driver of efficiency,” said Meyer, “but when it comes to bedside nursing, for example,
there’s only so much you can do. You have to be hands-on with the patient.”

When physicians and nurses are engaged and supportive, he said, good things can happen for the patient.
Patience is the key to any kind of system-wide cultural transformation, said Meyer; otherwise, there may be
resistance to how the organisation is trying to evolve.

“As an administrative leadership team, you need to make sure the medical staff is engaged and a part of that
decision-making process,” he said. “You can’t hand a dictum to a medical staff and expect them to embrace it.”

Meyer and Spence both agree that no amount of efficiency measures or labour cost initiatives should ever
have a negative impact on patient care.

“As healthcare organisations evaluate ways to effectively manage cost, the connection that exists across
operations, finance and human resources is having a shared goal -- improve the value of patient care delivered
at the lowest cost possible,” said Spence. “While cost reduction is important, is must be balanced against the
level of service and quality of care that is provided.”
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