


## Volume 17 - Issue 2, 2017 - Management Matters

## Five Reasons Why Value-Based Healthcare is Beneficial



Patient-centered care is becoming a major topic in healthcare. Many initiatives have begun focusing their care around patients and their medical conditions. This requires focusing on patient value (Porter and Teisberg 2006). When focusing on value for patients, a few challenges may arise. Firstly, the meaning of value for patients varies widely among stakeholders in healthcare. Secondly, not all patients receive the same treatment for the same illness. Patients (and their families) want to be treated differently based on their preferences. Thirdly, the quality of care delivery in terms of patient relevant outcomes differs among hospitals. The diversity in measurements makes it difficult to compare.

#### I. Patient Value: A Common Definition

Doctors would base the meaning of patient value on the skills of a doctor, an improved medical lab result, or a well-performed surgery. These measurements are mainly based on the treatment or intervention perspective. On the other hand, a patient may base patient value on aspects such as the length of waiting lists, how kind the doctor was or perhaps how good the coffee or breakfast tasted. "Most people would agree that both sets of measurements do not truly reflect the quality of care from a medical perspective. Patients' perception: "They were so kind to me when performing the surgery seven times.

### II. A Singular Language

Value-based healthcare provides a singular language that is comprehended by doctors, medical teams, patients and their families. Patient value is defined by an equation whereby patient-relevant outcome measurements are the numerator, and costs per patient in delivering those outcomes are the denominator. Patient value is defined for a specific medical condition over the full cycle of care (**Figure 1**).




Figure 1. Patient value determined by the ratio of patient relevant outcome measurements to the costs per patient over the full cycle of care (Porter 2010)

Meetbaar Beter (winner of the VBHC Prize 2014) is a great example that transparently reports patient relevant outcome measurements for specific © For personal and private use only. Reproduction must be permitted by the copyright holder. Email to copyright@mindbyte.eu. medical conditions. They include coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, aortic valve disease and combined aortic valve disease and coronary artery disease (Meetbaar Beter 2012-2016). It is important to note that outcome measurements should be defined around a medical condition and should be manageable and actionable. Doctors and their teams are then intrinsically motivated to improve the quality of care they deliver to patients. All they need are the tools to measure and the ability to visualise accurate and valuable outcomes.

ING	Education and reminders about regular exams     Lifestyle and diet counselling	Counselling patient and family on the diagnostic process and the diagnosis	<ul> <li>Explaining and supporting patient choices of treatment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Counselling patient and family on treatment and prognosis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Counselling patient and family on rehabilitation options and process</li> </ul>	Counselling     patient and family     on long term risk     management
IRING	<ul> <li>Self exams</li> <li>Mammograms</li> </ul>	Mammograms     Ultrasound     MRI     Biopsy     BRCA 1.2		<ul> <li>Procedure specific measurements</li> </ul>	Range of movement     Side effects measurement	Recurring mammograms (every 6 months for the first 3 years)
SING	<ul> <li>Office visits</li> <li>Mammography lab visits</li> </ul>	Office visits     Lab visits     High-risk clinic     visits	Office visits     Hospital visits	Hospital stay     Visits to outpa- tient or radiation chemotherapy units	Office visits     Rehabilitation     facility visits	Office visits     Lab visits     Mammographic labs     and imaging centre     visits
	MONITORING PREVENTING • Medical history • Monitoring for lumps	<ul> <li>DIAGNOSING</li> <li>Medical history</li> <li>Determing the specific nature</li> </ul>	PREPARING  Medical counselling Surgery prep	Surgery (breast preservation or mastectomy,	RECOVERING REHABING • In-hospital and outpatient wound healing	MONITORING MANAGING • Periodic Mammography • Other imaging
	<ul> <li>Control of risk factors (obesity, high fat diet)</li> <li>Clinical exams</li> <li>Genetic screening</li> </ul>	of the disease Genetic evaluation Choosing a treatment plan	Surgery prep (anaesthetic risk assessment, EKG)     Patient and family psychological counselling     Plastic or oncoplastic surgery evaluation	<ul> <li>oncoplastic alternative)</li> <li>Adjuvant therapies (hormonal medication, radiation and/or chemotherapy)</li> </ul>	Psychological couseling     Treatment of side effects (skin damage, neurotoxic, cardiac, nausea, lymphoedema and chronic fatigue)	<ul> <li>Follow-up clinical exams for next 2 years</li> <li>Treatment for any continued side effects</li> </ul>
		*		*	Physical therapy	Breast Cancer Special     Other Provider Entities

## The Care Delivery Value Chain Breast Cancer Care

### III. Focused on Measurable Health Outcomes To Facilitate Improvement

Measuring outcomes in healthcare began in the 1950s (**Figure 3**), followed by a strong trend towards process and structure measurements. Some of the measurements focused on at that time were the length of waiting lists and the number of (certified) staff. This led to quality management based on the optimisation of processes, including Lean. All of these measurements are important in improving the internal process of care delivery. Patient and family perception only became important from a measurement perspective in the 1990s. Surprisingly, the healthcare sector took quite some time in realising the significance of patients in healthcare delivery. Luckily, healthcare providers are now able to present true patient-relevant outcome measurements to their colleagues and patients.

# Historical development of measurement in healthcare over the past 60+ years




Figure 3. Historical development of measurements in healthcare. Started with medical measurements, followed by process and structure measurements, then quality measurements. Patient and family perception came into perspective in the 1990s. Currently, healthcare measurements are focusing on outcomes relevant for the patient (Van Eenennaam 2016) One of the most inspiring examples of improving measurable health outcomes is the Martini Klinik at the University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE) in Germany. Since the founding of the clinic in 2005, the Martini Klinik has focused on improving long-term health outcomes for patients with prostate cancer. The Martini Klinik massively improved their care by measuring patient-relevant outcomes (Table 1). The improved outcomes led to growth in volume and the Martini Klinik became the world's largest prostate cancer care clinic by 2013. It later received the VBHC European Inspirational Award in 2016 based on these inspiring results.

Results	German average	Martini Clinic 93.5% <sup>1</sup>
Fully continent <sup>1</sup>	56.7%	
Severe incontinence <sup>2</sup>	4.5%	0.4%
Severe erectile dysfuction (1 year) <sup>3</sup>	75.5%	34.7%
Ureteral injury	0.6%	0.04%
Sepsis	2.5 %	0.04%
Pulmonary embolism	0.8%	0.1%
Delayed wound healing	1.7%	0.9%
Rectal injury	1.7%	0.2%
Thrombosis	2.5%	0.4%

<sup>1</sup> Definition of fully continent: incontinence pads are unnecessary or are only used for safety

<sup>2</sup> More than 5 incontinence pads per day

<sup>3</sup> Including patients suffering from erectile dysfunction previous to the operation

Table 1. Patient-relevant outcome measurements of prostate cancer care at the Martini Klinik versus the German average. Source: Martini Klinik martini-klinik.de/en/results

A second example is Meetbaar Beter. Meetbaar Beter has helped doctors learn from one another and improve care delivery based on reported outcomes. Over the last few years, impressive effects on patient-relevant outcomes have been achieved by looking at and learning from fellow cardiologists and cardiovascular surgeons.

## See Also: Vulnerability Leading to Improvement: Hospitals Start Comparing Outcomes Internationally

## IV. Protocols Do Not Fit Every Patient, But Patients Benefit From Protocols

Every patient is unique but they each walk a different path through the cycle of care. Protocols are very useful as they provide care delivery guidelines for patients with common medical conditions. In the St. Antonius hospital (winner of 'VBHC Cost-Effectiveness Award' 2016), elderly patients with end-stage renal failure are guided towards their choice of treatment. Previously, protocols stated that patients with this medical condition should primarily be treated with dialysis. Dialysis is highly invasive (and costly) for elderly patients and it requires them to remain in hospital for long periods of time. Research made by Dr. Willem Jan Bos and his team found that conservative treatment is much better than dialysis (Verberne et al. 2016). By having discussions with patients, protocols can be changed and care delivery can be opitmised and adjusted to fit every individual.

#### V. Become a Patient-Centred, Fast-Learning Team

Value-based healthcare is centred around learning. Doctors who have a drive to show medical leadership and create a learning culture are key for the implementation of VBHC. Learning to improve value for patients provides satisfaction. This motivates doctors and their teams and also cuts costs. VBHC empowers doctors and their teams to do what they do best-provide excellent patient-value by using clinically relevant and evidence based insights.

### **Creating Excellent Patient Value**

- · Patient-centred care is on the rise:
- · VBHC provides a common definition for patient-value and a common language for all stakeholders in healthcare;
- · VBHC puts the patients, their families, doctors and their teams at focus;
- · Patients with similar medical conditions have different preferences and they each follow roughly similar care-paths;

© For personal and private use only. Reproduction must be permitted by the copyright holder. Email to copyright@mindbyte.eu.

• Care quality improves by measuring the right patient relevant outcome measures. This creates compelling learning cycles for the medical team.

Working towards excellent patient-value has never been more optimistic than it is today!

Value-Based Health Care Center Europe is the European platform for VBHC implementation since 2012. With over 1000 members in the community, VBHC Center Europe facilitates people with an interest in VBHC to connect, create and share VBHC best practices. Additionally, since 2014, VBHC Center Europe annually awards the VBHC Prize. More information: <a href="http://www.vbhc.eu">www.vbhc.eu</a>.

Published on : Sun, 28 May 2017