

## Are ESC Syncope Guidelines Being Implemented in Europe? A Picture from the Real World

Author



Prof. Michele Brignole

Arrhythmologic Centre, Department of Cardiology

Ospedali del Tigullio, Lavagna, Italy

[mbrignole@asl4.liguria.it](mailto:mbrignole@asl4.liguria.it)

**Despite the implementation of several clinical guidelines, current strategies for the assessment of syncope vary widely among physicians and hospitals. Evaluation and treatment of syncope is often haphazard and unstratified. This results in the inappropriate use of diagnostic tests and in a number of misdiagnosed and still unexplained syncope.**

The utilisation of medical resources and the expenses associated with syncope management are enormous. Assuming the status quo of syncope evaluation is left in its present state, the effectiveness of diagnosis and treatment is unlikely to substantially improve. Even implementation of the published syncope management guidelines is likely to be diverse, uneven in application, and of uncertain benefit. Guidelines from scientific societies should provide the standard, but are poorly known and sometimes difficult to apply in clinical practice. Also, physicians from specialties different to those that created the guidelines are reluctant to apply it to their patients. Thus, guidelines alone will hardly change standard practice.

A prospective, observational registry from a sample of 28 general hospitals was performed in Italy in order to evaluate the impact of the guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) on the management of syncope admitted in emergency. The Evaluation of Guidelines in Syncope Study 1 (EGSYS-1) enrolled all consecutive patients referred to their emergency rooms from November 5, 2001 to December 7, 2001 who were affected by transient loss of consciousness as the principal symptom. The findings of each of the 28 hospitals participating in the survey were evaluated separately.

### Study Fails to Identify Uniform Syncope Practices

The authors observed great inter-hospital and inter-department heterogeneity regarding the incidence of emergency admission, in-hospital pathways, most of the examinations performed and the final diagnosis. For example, the execution of carotid sinus massage ranged from 0% in one hospital to 58% in another (median 12.5%) (see fig. 1); tilt testing ranged from 0% to 50% (median 5.8%) and the final diagnosis of neurally-mediated syncope ranged from 10% to 78.6% (median 43.3%). As a consequence of the great inter-hospital variability, the authors were unable to describe a uniform strategy for the management of syncope.




Fig.1. Incidence of execution of carotid sinus massage (expressed as percent-age of total patients admitted) among the 28 participating hospitals to EGSYS 1 study. Each bar represents one hospitals. The vertical dotted lines are those corresponding to the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of distribution.

This heterogeneity was minimally explained by a difference in the clinical characteristics of the population referred to by the hospitals participating in the study. Indeed, the proportion of explained variability was calculated to be less than 10% of total variance. Thus, we can assume that the main determinant of this different behaviour lies in the different attitudes of the staff.

	Usual care n=929	Standardised care n=745	p value
Total costs (Euro):	1,295,000	839,449	
- hospital costs, %	1,005,202 (78%)	633,149 (75%)	
- costs for tests, %	289,798 (22%)	206,300 (25%)	
Cost per patient	1394±1850	1127±1383	0.0001
Cost per patient discharged from ER	180±63	180±75	0.88
Cost per patient hospitalised	2785±2168	2621±1878	0.001
Cost per diagnosis	1753±2326	1240±1521	0.0001
Cost per diagnosis per patient discharged from ER	226±79	198±83	0.001
Cost per diagnosis per patient hospitalised	3506±2729	2880±2064	0.0001

Table 1. Cost-Analysis Results for Implementation of ESC Guidelines in Italy

Therefore, what can one do? The solution is the creation of standardised care pathways in which guidelines can be effectively applied.

### New Strategy

The Evaluation of Guidelines in Syncope Study 2 (EGSYS-2) validated a new method of management for syncope based on a decision-making approach developed in strict adherence to the recommendations of the updated guidelines of the ESC in 465 patients from 11 general hospitals. In order to maximise its application, a decision-making guideline-based software was used and trained core medical personnel were designated – both locally in each hospital and centrally – to verify adherence to the diagnostic pathway and give advice on its correction.

The results of this study probably assessed the current standard for the management of syncope and provided a frame of reference for daily activity when dealing with syncope. As a consequence of these methodological features, the results markedly differed from those of previous investigations; more diagnosis of neurally-mediated syncope were made and much less syncope remained unexplained than in the past (see fig. 2).

A prospective, controlled, multi-centre study was performed in order to verify if this standardised method of care is feasible in the real world and superior to the usual care. The patients referred from

Causes of Loss of Consciousness - Secular Trend

Diagnosis	1990's*	2000's**	2006***
Neurally-mediated & orthostatic	57%	52%	70%
Coronary arrhythmias	19%	11%	11%
Structural cardio-pulmonary	4%	3%	5%
Non syncopal	10%	9%	6%
Unexplained	26%	20%	2%

\* Data pooled from 9 population-based studies (1499 subjects) (8,7,6,5,10,11)  
 \*\* Data pooled from 4 population-based studies (1949 subjects) (12,13,14,15)  
 \*\*\* Data from EGSYS 2 study (465 patients) (6)

Figure 2.

October 4 to November 5, 2004 for emergency care, to 19 Italian general hospitals were managed according to this new standardised care pathway and were compared with those of EGSYS-1 referred from November 5 to December 7, 2001 who were managed according to the usual practices. There were 929 patients in the usual care group and 745 patients in the standardised care group. The baseline characteristics of the two study populations were similar.

### Study Underpins Guidelines

At the end of the evaluation, 78% of the patients assigned to the standardised care group adhered to the guideline-based evaluation achieving, when compared to usual care, 17% less hospitalisation rate (39% vs 47%), 11% shorter in-hospital stay (7.2±5.7 vs 8.1±5.9 days) and 26% fewer tests performed per patient (median 2.5 vs 3.4). Forty-one percent more standardised care patients had a diagnosis of neurally-mediated (65% vs 46%) and 66% more of orthostatic (10% vs 6%) while 54% fewer had a diagnosis of pseudo-syncope (6% vs 13%) and 75% fewer of unexplained syncope (5% vs 20%) (see table 2). The mean cost per patient was 19% lower (1127 euros vs 1394 euros) and the mean cost per diagnosis was 29% lower (1240 euros vs 1753 euros) in the standardised care group (see table 1, page nine).

Thus, this study showed that a standardised care pathway significantly improved diagnostic yield and reduced hospital admissions, resource consumption and overall costs. Although the results of this study are difficult to reproduce in everyday practice, the study shows that ESC guidelines can be implemented in the clinical setting, provided the presence of trained medical personnel and the use of specifically designed decision-making software are in place. Thus, these results support the creation of cohesive, structured syncope facilities, as in the model proposed by the ESC guidelines in order to provide optimal quality service on the basis of well-defined, up-to-date diagnostic guidelines.

	Usual care n=929		Standardised care n=745		p value
	Absolute no.	%	Absolute no.	%	
<b>In-hospital pathway, number of patients:</b>					
Discharged from ER	496	53%	456	61%	0.001
Hospitalised:	433	47%	289	39%	0.001
- Internal medicine/Geriatrics	273	29%	176	24%	0.008
- Cardiology	91	10%	75	10%	0.853
- Neurology	44	5%	8	1%	0.001
- Other wards	25	3%	30	4%	0.128
In-hospital stay (days±SD)	8.1±5.9		7.2±5.7		0.04
<b>Tests performed, number of patients:</b>					
Electrocardiogram	880	95%	745	100%	0.001
Basic laboratory tests	726	78%	263	35%	0.001
Echocardiogram	170	18%	120	16%	0.239
Tilt testing	60	6%	96	13%	0.001
Carotid sinus massage	130	14%	112	15%	0.548
Prolonged electrocardiographic monitoring	215	23%	84	11%	0.001
Exercise test	11	1%	23	3%	0.006
Electrophysiological study	19	2%	22	3%	0.232
Coronary angiography	14	2%	12	2%	0.865
Electroencephalography	112	12%	42	6%	0.001
Brain CT scan and/or MRI scan	182	20%	115	15%	0.027
Carotid echo-doppler	170	18%	33	4%	0.001
Chest x-ray	257	28%	87	12%	0.001
Abdominal echography	57	6%	18	2%	0.001
Miscellaneous (one or more test per patient)	184	20%	99	13%	0.001
Total number of tests(±)	3121		1912		
Median no. of tests per patient (interquartile range)	3.4 (3.1-4.0)		2.6 (2.1-3.0)		0.001
<b>Final diagnosis (*), number of patients:</b>					
Neurally-mediated	410	46%	466	65%	0.001
Orthostatic	54	6%	74	10%	0.002
Cardiac	112	13%	96	13%	0.644
Cerebrovascular	14	2%	0	0%	0.001
Syncope-like conditions (**)	115	13%	41	6%	0.001
Unexplained syncope	177	20%	35	5%	0.001
Diagnosis not available (Incomplete records/evaluation)	47		33		
In-hospital mortality, number of patients	10	0.1%	6	0.08%	0.50

\* Total number of tests is superior to the sum of single tests because some patients repeated the same test, and 1 intracardiac test per patient was performed

\*\* According to the Classification of Loss of Consciousness of the Guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology (5)

\*\*\* Syncope-like conditions include: Metabolic disorders (hypoglycaemia, hyponatra, hyperventilation), epilepsy, intoxications, Torsion point attack, Cataplexy, Drop attacks, and Psychogenic "syncope" (Conversion disorder)

Table 2. Results of Implementation of ESC Guidelines in Italy

Published on : Sun, 11 May 2008