




## 3D Breast Imaging Tool Boosts Contrast, Cuts Dose Levels



Scientists in the U.S. added a collimator to an existing 3-D breast molecular imaging system that improved image quality in the contrast of cancer lesions in the breast and slashed the radiation dose to the patient by half.

The device, that narrows a beam of particles or waves to become more aligned in a specific direction may also allow for 3-D molecular breast images at higher resolution than current 2-D scans in a format that may be used alongside 3-D digital mammography.

Preliminary [tests](#) by researchers at the Department of Energy's Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Dillon Technologies and the University of Florida Department of Biomedical Engineering have demonstrated that the new device may enable existing breast cancer imagers to provide up to six times better contrast of tumours in the breast, while maintaining the same or better image quality and halving the radiation dose to patients.

□

**Adding this variable angle slant hole collimator to an existing breast molecular imaging system allows the system to get six times better contrast of cancer lesions in the breast, providing the same or better image quality while also potentially reducing the radiation dose to the patient by half. Technologies developed at DOE's Jefferson Lab for the variable angle slant hole collimator are included in two filings to the US Patent and Trademark Office.**

*Credit: DOE's Jefferson Lab*

In breast cancer screening, mammography is the gold standard. But about half of all women who follow

standard screening protocol for ten years will receive a false-positive result that will require additional screening, particularly women who have dense breast tissue. Used in conjunction with mammography, imaging based on nuclear medicine is currently being used as a successful secondary screening alongside mammography to reduce the number of false positive results in women with dense breasts and at higher risk for developing breast cancer.

Now, researchers are hoping to improve this imaging technique, known as molecular breast imaging or breast specific gamma imaging, with better image quality and precise location (depth information) within the breast, while reducing the amount of radiation dose to the patient for these procedures.

According to Drew Weisenberger, leader of the Jefferson Lab Radiation Detector and Imaging Group, a new device called a variable angle slant hole collimator provides all of these benefits and more.

“These results really focus on the breast. We hope to build on this to perhaps improve the imaging of other organs,” Weisenberger said.

While a mammogram uses X-rays to show the structure of breast tissue, molecular breast imagers show tissue function. For instance, cancer tumours are fast growing, so they gobble up certain compounds more rapidly than healthy tissue.

Current molecular breast imaging systems use a traditional collimator, which is essentially a rectangular plate of dense metal with a grid of holes, to “filter” the gamma rays for the camera. The collimator only allows the system to pick up the gamma rays that come straight out of the breast, through the holes of collimator, and into the imager. This provides for a clear, well-defined image of any cancer tumors.

The variable angle slant hole collimator, or VASH collimator, is constructed from a stack of 49 tungsten sheets, each one a quarter of a millimeter thick and containing an identical array of square holes. The sheets are stacked like a deck of cards, with angled edges on two sides. The angle of the array of square holes in the stack can be easily slanted by two small motors that slide the individual sheets by their edges. The result is a systematic varying of the focusing angle of the collimator during the imaging procedure.

“Now, you can get a whole range of angles of projections of the breast without moving the breast or moving the imager. You’re able to come in real close, you’re able to compress the breast, and you can get a one-to-one comparison to a 3D mammogram,” Weisenberger explained.

Published on : Mon, 20 Jun 2016