ICU

VOLUME 24

ISSUE 4

2024

MANAGEMENT & PRACTICE

🔰 @ICU Management



Mobilisation

Mobilisation Matters: Strategies for Efficient Patient Care, JJ Grunow, N Langer, N Daum, SJ Schaller

Early Mobilisation - When Evidence Comes to Single Patients, *P Nydahl, L-S Fick, A Hillen, FT Scheffenbichler, SJ Schaller*

Early Mobilisation, Delirium Prevention and Long-Term Cognitive Function, L Cabrini, O Saleh, G Spinazza, MA Belli

Early Mobilisation: Just a Fad? *S Garcia-Manzanedo, B Lobo-Valbuena, F Gordo*

Current Controversies in Early Mobilisation in the ICU, VR Jauregui-Gutiérrez, B Pérez-Martínez, AS Henales-Ocampo, RA Reyes-Monge, OR Pérez-Nieto, E Deloya-Tomas **Early Mobilisation In ICU: Current Practice and Areas for Improvement,** *R Suhail, SM Khorsand*

Early Mobilisation: Movements, Barriers and Complications, N Canziani, R Cavallari, L D'Andrea, D Goumair

The ABCs of Physical Therapy for Solid Organ Transplant Patients, *RA Jones Baro, MA Martínez Camacho, A Gómez González, J Delgado Camacho, A Melo Villalobos, DS Lugo García*

Early Mobilisation in Critical Care: Beyond Traditional Limits, *J López* Fermín, IS Zamora Guevara, MTG Barragán Castañeda, A Flores Romero, *K Vásquez Añas, M Martínez Rojas*

icu-management.org



Jorge López Fermín Intensive Care Unit ISSSTE High Specialty Hospital

ISSSTE High Specialty Hospital Veracruz, Mexico salemcito1@gmail.com



Iveth S Zamora Guevara Intensive Care Unit ISSSTE High Specialty Hospital Veracruz, Mexico iveth19_92@hotmail.com



María TG Barragán Castañeda Rehabilitation Care Unit Hospital de Alta Especialidad ISSSTE Veracruz, Mexico Itfmaycas@gmail.com



Alvaro Flores Romero Intensive Care Unit ISSSTE High Specialty Hospital Veracruz, Mexico alvfrs9@gmail.com



Kipsia Vásquez Añas Intensive Care Unit ISSSTE High Specialty Hospital Veracruz, Mexico alvfrs9Romail.com

Early Mobilisation in Critical Care: Beyond Traditional Limits

Early mobilisation in critical care significantly improves outcomes in critically ill patients. Overcoming boundaries requires a proactive approach, training, research and multidisciplinary collaboration.

Introduction

Early mobilisation in the critically ill patient is essential to mitigate the adverse consequences of bed rest and improve outcomes and cost reduction. The absence of muscle contraction results in loss of muscle strength and mass, leading to reduced muscle cross-sectional area, increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, proteolysis and muscle catabolism, leading to the development of more complications resulting in more days on mechanical ventilation, more difficult weaning, prolonged ICU stays or hospital days and even increased morbidity and mortality rates. This review provides evidence-based statements about the benefit of early mobilisation in critical care, which is a mainstay in intensive care units.

Importance for the Critically Ill Patient

One of the main problems in intensive care units where there are patients with limited mobility as a result of their underlying pathology, their clinical condition, or as a direct consequence of the necessary treatments, such as the requirement for invasive mechanical ventilation, deep sedation, malnutrition or the use of neuromuscular blockers, involves the risk of developing ICU-acquired weakness; a condition characterised by a decrease in muscle strength, generally associated with atrophy, of acute, diffuse, symmetrical and generalised onset, which develops after the onset of critical illness (Diaz et al. 2017).

Weakness acquired in the ICU does not only affect the muscles of the extremities; on the contrary, atrophy can even affect the diaphragmatic musculature after 18 hours from the start of mechanical ventilation and 96 hours in the skeletal muscles of the extremities, so that the longer the days of ventilation, the greater the muscular and systemic damage (Diaz et al. 2017). The participation of professionals from various branches of healthcare (medicine, nursing, physiotherapy, respiratory therapy, among others) in the assessment of the patient and consensus decision-making regarding their clinical condition allows a wide range of perspectives to be considered in their management and guarantees that the decisions taken lead to the patient's recovery due to their holistic nature and are backed by an informed consensus based on scientific evidence (Hiser et al. 2023).

Benefits and Barriers in the ICU

The catabolic state during critical illness causes a change in the role of muscle. Per day in the intensive care unit (ICU), there is a 2% loss of muscle mass, and during the first week of hospital stay, there is a 12.5 % loss of muscle cross-sectional area in the presence of mechanical ventilation. The lower limbs, particularly the hip flexors, are susceptible to atrophy (Hiser et al. 2023):

Benefits of early rehabilitation

- Improvement in the level of mobility.
- Preservation of muscle mass.
- Decreased incidence of delirium.
- Reduction of intensive care stay.
- Reduction of days of mechanical ventilation.
- Reduction of morbidity and mortality.

A study in New Zealand found that only 8% of mechanically ventilated patients were mobilised out of bed (Grimm 2019). ICU patients undergo a significant percentage of deep sedation and mechanical ventilation, and haemodynamic support, and therefore, these patients experience reduced mobility and the long-term functional impairment for ICU survivors is mainly



Manuel Martínez Rojas Intensive Care Unit ISSSTE High Specialty Hospital Veracruz, Mexico axelreeds21@gmail.com

characterised by cognitive and motor sequelae (Cuthbertson et al. 2010).

In a survey conducted in intensive care units in Jundishapur of Ahvas, it was found that the main barrier to mobilisation of ICU patients was lack of trained staff, inadequate time for this procedure, as well as deep sedation/coma, obese patients and patients with agitated pain as main patient-related barriers (Babazadeh et al. 2021).

There is a lack of standardised protocol for early mobilisation, including adequate record keeping and a checklist. Inadequate equipment for the mobilisation of mechanically ventilated patients is a limitation present in intensive care units.

A survey of ICU physicians conducted in Brazil aimed to identify attitudes and barriers to the implementation of early mobilisation of critically ill patients; availability of professionals, time to mobilise and excessive stress due to workload were the main findings (Fontela et al. 2018).

In a Canadian study conducted in 46 intensive care units, 60% of physicians stated that they did not have sufficient knowledge or skills to mobilise patients receiving multi-organ support (Koo et al. 2016).

A study in India describes a lack of protocols for patient mobilisation (Akhtar and Deshmukh 2021), similar to that described in developing countries, and sufficient equipment is a limitation (Koo et al. 2016).

Obesity becomes a major challenge in early mobilisation, adding the requirement for extracorporeal supports; they participate in a misconception of seeking lack of mobility to avoid accidental



Figure 1. Early mobilisation and humanisation protocol in an intensive care unit in southeastern Mexico; H-ICU (photos authorised by family members)

removal of endotracheal tube or vascular access or drains, thereby delaying the window of opportunity to decrease the presence of acquired weakness of the critically ill patient (Bakhru et al. 2015).

'Early rehabilitation in critical areas could improve functional prognosis and hospital costs; persistence of heterogeneous and unsubstantiated behaviours delays progress'.

Implementation Strategies

Mobilisation of the critically ill has become an increasingly important area of interest in recent years. Although most of the literature comes from neurosciences, nursing care, paediatrics, orthopaedics and geriatrics, it is of increasing interest to rehabilitation professionals (Zang et al. 2020).

Efforts to reduce the use of sedatives and to promote early daily patient awakening and spontaneous breathing ventilation methods have become focal points of care in critical areas. This multi-centre approach to interventions that reduce 'treatmentrelated immobilisation', combined with a focus on patient management immediately after acute illness, may also improve the overall likelihood and safety of patient mobilisation after prolonged or unwarranted sedative use (Alaparthi et al. 2020; Menges et al. 2021).



Figure 2. The early eights - strategies for early mobilisation of critically ill patients



Figure 3. Intensive Care Unit (ICU) without walls. This multidisciplinary concept aims to integrate family members into the rehabilitation activity outside the ICU. Videos with QR codes are attached (photos authorised by the family members)

The administration of unwarranted deep sedation in a human being is a practice that should be severely penalised. This intervention, lacking adequate clinical justification, not only carries inherent risks and adverse outcomes, but also entails high human and financial costs. Furthermore, it perpetuates a questionable medical tradition that compromises ethics and patient safety (Hodgson et al. 2022).

A multidisciplinary team is essential to promote early mobilisation of ICU patients. Team members set goals of care for each patient based on the patient's condition, the team's experience, and pending trials and guidelines if the patient is unable to communicate. The ICU environment and specific staff may affect how, when, where and by whom early ambulation/mobility can be initiated in individual patients (Lang et al. 2020; Smith et al. 2022).

Patient and family involvement, and support are critical to the development of a patient-centred critical illness recovery plan and the timely initiation of early mobilisation activities. Patients and their families should be educated about the implications of early mobilisation, expectations based on current condition, appreciation of their role in the early mobility process, provision of reassurance and creating a sense of achievement for the patient (Rawal and Bakhru 2023).

Early mobilisation of critically ill patients, while beneficial, remains underutilised due to concerns about patient safety and tolerance. Conflicting ethical issues may also arise, including respect for patient autonomy, beneficence to the patient, nonmaleficence and justice. Dilemmas may arise because of advances in medical management, such as advances in critical care that help sustain life or modes of support that prevent the resolution of illness or disease and rehabilitation that patients may not want (Rawal and Bakhru 2023).

There is limited information on the economic consequences of implementing early mobilisation programmes. However, cost-effectiveness must be considered when allocating resources. From this perspective, it has been argued that early initiation of a progressive exercise and increased mobilisation may place substantial or excessive demands on staff, physical resources and time. Identifying the financial demand of a mobilisation programme and being able to reallocate sufficient resources after its implementation initiative is also vital for these programmes (Morris et al. 2008).

'The prescription of unwarranted deep sedation in a human being should be criminalised, the result is an inhumane act'.

Impact on Clinical Outcomes

The outcomes of early mobilisation in critically ill patients are uncertain, although it has been associated with improved muscle strength by MRC scores and Barthel index (Schweickert et al. 2009), reduced incidence of delirium, return of baseline functional class (OR 2.7 CI 95%, 1.2-6. There is no conclusive information on survival and mortality benefits, as well as no precise definitions of early rehabilitation and no standardised algorithms for early mobilisation and rehabilitation in intensive care units.

A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing the outcomes of adult patients with early mobilisation under mechanical ventilation, defined as within three days of ICU admission, compared to the standard mobilisation group, showed no improvement in mortality at 180 days compared to the intervention group RR 1.09 CI 95% (0.69-1. 76) I2 0%, nor differences in secondary outcomes which were: days ICU stay RR -2.18 CI 95% (-3.27, -0.94) I2 93%, duration of mechanical ventilation RR -1.39 CI 95% (-2.50, -0.56) I2 82%, The analysis had severe limitations such as sample size, high heterogeneity of studies and a lack of consensus on the definition and protocols of early mobilisation (Wang et al. 2023). It is a priority to implement standardised protocols in our critical care units and to assess the risks involved in order to generate lines of research that will strengthen our clinical practice.

A comparative line of research on the effects of mobilisation 72 hours after admission to the intensive care unit followed up six months after hospital discharge, with inconclusive results on the primary outcomes of muscle strength, cognitive function, and adverse effects of early mobilisation RR 1.3 CI 95% (0.49-2.62) I2 47% (Matsukoa et al. 2023). No mortality benefit was observed in the intervention group RR 1.15 CI 95% (0.83-1.60)

MOBILISATION

I2=0%. The results contrasted in lines of research by (Paton et al. 2022), where the probability of a higher survival rate in the early mobilisation group is observed. The lack of results against continuing strategies of early mobilisation protocols leaves a field of opportunity for new generations and intensive care teams that can add to the results observed in our unit in the southeast Mexican

Conclusion

Active identification of early mobilisation barriers and implementation of a protocol should be part of the mandatory model of care for all intensive care units. Data supporting favourable outcomes of early mobilisation in intensive care unit patients is a growing line of research, and understanding the real short- and long-term impact on critically ill patients requires standardisation of rehabilitation protocols and unification of definitions of early rehabilitation.

Acknowledgement

The families involved in the process and the team that integrates the intensive care unit of ISSSTE Veracruz (nurses, physiotherapists, nutritionists, orderlies, doctors and residents) who make it possible to continue the implementation of evidence-based medicine for the good of our patients.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

Akhtar PM, Deshmukh PK (2021) Knowledge, Attitudes, and Perceived Barriers of Healthcare Providers toward Early Mobilization of Adult Critically Ill Patients in Intensive Care Unit. Indian J Crit Care Med. 25(5):512-518.

Alaparthi GK, Gatty A, Samuel SR, Amaravadi SK (2020) Effectiveness, safety, and barriers to early mobilization in the intensive care unit. Critical Care Research and Practice. (1):7840743.

Babazadeh M, Jahani S, Poursangbor T, Cheraghian B (2021) Perceived barriers to early mobilization of intensive care unit patients by nurses in hospitals affiliated to Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences of Ahvaz in 2019. J Med Life. 14(1):100-104.

Bakhru RN, Wiebe DJ, McWilliams DJ et al. (2015) An environmental scan for early mobilization practices in US ICUs. Critical care medicine. 43(11):2360-9.

Cuthbertson BH, Roughton S, Jenkinson D et al. (2010) Quality of life in the five years after intensive care: a cohort study. Crit Care. 14:R6.

Diaz Ballve LP, Dargains N, Urrutia Inchaustegui JG et al. (2017) Weakness acquired in the intensive care unit. Incidence, risk factors and their association with inspiratory weakness. Observational cohort study. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 29(4):466-475.

Fontela PC, Forgiarini LA Jr, Friedman G (2018) Clinical attitudes and perceived barriers to early mobilization of critically ill patients in adult intensive care units. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 30(2):187-194.

Grimm J, Silvestri-Elmore A, Grimm E et al. (2019) Perceived barriers to early progressive mobilization in the ICU: Multidisciplinary perspectives in an underserved population. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice. 9(4):5.

Hiser SL, Fatima A, Ali M, Needham DM (2023) Post-intensive care syndrome (PICS): recent updates. J Intensive Care. 11(1):23.

Koo KK, Choong K, Cook DJ et al. (2016) Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. Early mobilization of critically ill adults: a survey of knowledge, perceptions and practices of Canadian physicians and physiotherapists. CMAJ Open. 4(3):E448-E454.

Lang JK, Paykel MS, Haines KJ, Hodgson CL (2020) Clinical Practice Guidelines for Early Mobilization in the ICU: A Systematic Review. Crit Care Med. 48(11):e1121-e1128.

Matsuoka A, Yoshihiro S, Shida H et al. (2023) Effects of Mobilization within 72 h of ICU Admission in Critically III Patients: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Clin Med. 12(18):5888.

Menges D, Seiler B, Tomonaga Y et al. (2021) Systematic early versus late mobilization or standard early mobilization in mechanically ventilated adult ICU patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. Critical Care. 25:1-24.

Morris PE, Goad A, Thompson C et al. (2008) Early intensive care unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. Crit Care Med. 36(8):2238-43.

Patel BK, Wolfe KS, Patel SB et al. (2023) Effect of early mobilisation on long-term cognitive impairment in critical illness in the USA: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med. 11(6):563-572.

Paton M, Chan S, Tipping CJ et al. (2023) The Effect of Mobilization at 6 Months after Critical Illness - Meta-Analysis. NEJM Evid. 2(2):EVIDoa2200234.

Rawal H, Bakhru RN (2024) Early mobilization in the ICU. CHEST Critical Care. 2(1): 100038.

Schweickert WD, Pohlman MC, Pohlman AS et al. (2009) Early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 373(9678):1874-82.

Smith HAB, Besunder JB, Betters KA et al. (2022) 2022 Society of Critical Care Medicine Clinical Practice Guidelines on Prevention and Management of Pain, Agitation, Neuromuscular Blockade, and Delirium in Critically Ill Pediatric Patients With Consideration of the ICU Environment and Early Mobility. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 23(2):e74-e110.

TEAM Study Investigators and the ANZICS Clinical Trials Group; Hodgson CL, Bailey M et al. (2022) Early Active Mobilization during Mechanical Ventilation in the ICU. N Engl J Med. 387(19):1747-1758.

Wang L, Hua Y, Wang L et al. (2023) The effects of early mobilization in mechanically ventilated adult ICU patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Med (Lausanne). 10:1202754. Zang K, Chen B, Wang M et al. (2020) The effect of early mobilization in critically ill patients: a meta-analysis. Nursing in critical care. 25(6):360-7.

AGENDA

For a full listing of events visit https://iii.hm/icuevents2024

OCTOBER

- 1-2 Anaesthesia & Critical Care 2024 London, UK https://iii.hm/1rq5 2-4 Paediatric Critical Care Society Conference 2024 Liverpool, UK https://iii.hm/1rq6 5-9 ESICM LIVES 2024 Barcelona, Spain https://iii.hm/1rg7 8-10 **RCEM Annual Scientific Conference** 2024 Gateshead, UK https://iii.hm/1rq8 9-12 38th EACTS Annual Meeting 2024 Lisbon, Portugal https://iii.hm/1rg9
- 13-16 EUSEM 2024 - European Emergency Medicine Congress Copenhagen, Denmark https://iii.hm/1rga
- 11-14 15-16 Combat Medical Care Conference 2024 Ulm,Germany https://iii.hm/1rgb
- 18-22 Anesthesiology 2024 Philadelphia, USA https://iii.hm/1rgc
- 29-31 13th Euro Neuro Florence, Italy https://iii.hm/1rgd

NOVEMBER

- 17-20 CCCE 2024-Critical Care Canada Forum Toronto, Canada https://iii.hm/1rt8
- 19-21 Echocardiography for Hemodynamic Monitoring 2024 Brussels, Belgium https://iii.hm/1rge

DECEMBER

3-5

4-6

26th Refresher Course on Cardiovascular and Respiratory Physiology in Intensive Care Medicine Brussels, Belgium https://iii.hm/1rqf

DIVI 2024 - German Interdisciplinary Association for Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine Hamburg, Germany https://iii.hm/1rgg

ESEM 2024 - Emirates Society of **Emergency Medicine Conference** Dubai, UAÉ https://iii.hm/1rgk

EDITOR-IN CHIEF Prof Jean-Louis Vincent, Consultant, Department of Intensive Care, Erasme Hospital, Free University of Brussels, Belgium

EDITORIAL BOARD

Prof Antonio Artigas (Spain) Prof Jan Bakker (The Netherlands) jan.bakker@erasmusmc.nl Prof Richard Beale (United Kingdom) richard.beale@gstt.sthames.nhs.uk Prof Maurizio Cecconi (Italy) maurizio.cecconi@humanitas.it Prof Daniel De Backer (Belgium) Prof Jan de Waele (Belgium) Prof Bin Du (China) hans.flaatten@helse-bergen.no Prof Hans Flaatten (Norway) Prof Evangelos J Giamarellos-Bourboulis (Greece) Prof Armand Girbes (Netherlands) Prof Vitaly Herasevich (United States) herasevich.vitalv@mavo.edu Prof Annette Kalifeh (United States) Prof Sapna Kudchadkar (United States) Prof Theodoros Kyprianou (Cyprus) Prof Jeff Lipman (Australia) Prof Flavia Machado (Brazil) Prof John Marini (United States) john.j.marini@healthpartners.com Prof Heather Meissen (United States) heather.meissen@emoryhealthcare.org Prof Vasilios Papaioannou (Greece) Prof Paolo Pelosi (Italy) Dr Shirish Prayag (India) Dr Emma J Ridley (Australia) emma.ridlev@monash.edu Prof Gordon Rubenfeld (Canada) gordon.rubenfeld@sunnybrook.ca Prof Francesca Rubulotta (Canada) francesca.rubulotta@nhs.net Prof Frank van Haren (Australia) Prof Lixin Xie (China)

REGIONAL AMBASSADORS

Luiz Alberto Cerqueira Batista-Filho (Brazil) Dr Audrey de Jong (France) Prof Silvia De Rosa (Italy) Dr Simone Gattarello (Germany) Dr Margo van Mol (The Netherlands) Dr Adrian Wong (United Kingdom)

GUEST AUTHORS

María TG Barragán Castañeda, Mary Ann Belli, Luca Cabrini, Nicoletta Canziani, Raissa Cavallari, Luca D'Andrea, Nils Daum, Josué Delgado Camacho, Ernesto Deloya-Tomas, Lena-Sophia Fick, Alvaro Flores Romero, Sofia Garcia-Manzanedo, Alberto Gómez González, Federico Gordo, Dunia Goumair, Julius J Grunow, Alexis Stephano Henales-Ocampo, Andrea Hillen, Victor Raymundo Jauregui-Gutiérrez, Robert Alexander Jones Baro, Sarah M Khorsand, Nadine Langer, Beatriz Lobo-Valbuena, Jorge López Fermín, Dalia Sahian Lugo García, Miguel Ángel Martínez Camacho, Manuel Martínez Rojas, Andrea Melo Villalobos, Peter Nydahl, Patrick Pestalozzi, Beatriz Pérez-Martínez, Orlando Rubén Pérez-Nieto, Rafael Alfonso Reves-Monge, Omar Saleh. Stefan J Schaller, Flora T Scheffenbichler, Giada Spinazza, Ramsa Suhail, Kipsia Vásquez Añas, Jean-Louis Vincent, Iveth S Zamora Guevara

Christian Marolt c@icu-management.org CLIENT SERVICE DIRECTOR mea@healthmanagement.org Akinbinu Adeola MANAGING EDITOR editorial@icu-management.org CREATIVE DIRECTOR art1@mindbyte.eu Cvril Arokiasamv Xavier COMMUNICATIONS TEAM congress@healthmanagement.org Mahiaheen Ahmed office@mindbyte.eu WEB DEVELOPER rafadavtyan12@gmail.com Rafavel Davtvan ICU MANAGEMENT AND PRACTICE IS PUBLISHED BY MindByte Communications Ltd. Kosta Ourani, 5 Petoussis Court, 5th floor, CY-3085 Limassol Cyprus office@icu-management.org icu-management.org SUBSCRIPTION RATES 55 Euros + 5% VAT if applicable 90 Euros + 5% VAT if applicable

Note: For a free digital subscription please contact Samna Ghani, editorial@ icu-management.org

PRODUCTION, FULFILMENT AND DISTRIBUTION

Total distribution: 21,500 ISSN = 1377-7564

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Samna Ghani

Tania Faroog

Fmail

Wohcito

One year

Two years

jlvincent@intensive.org

aartigas@cspt.es

ddebacke@ulb.ac.be

dubin98@gmail.com

arj.girbes@vumc.nl

aesper@emory.edu sapna@jhmi.edu

tkyprian@gmail.com

i.lipman@ug.edu.au

vapapa@med.duth.gr

ppelosi@hotmail.com

fvanharen@me.com

xielx301@126.com

shirishprayag@gmail.com

luizcerqueira80@gmail.com

silvia.derosa@unitn.it

gattarello@gmail.com

avkwong@mac.com

m.vanmol@erasmusmc.nl

a-de_jong@chu-montpellier.fr

frmachado@unifesp.br

jan.dewaele@ugent.be

egiamarel@med.uoa.gr



© ICU Management & Practice is published five times per year. The publisher is to be notified of cancellations six weeks before the end of the subscription. The reproduction of (parts of) articles without consent of the publisher is prohibited. The publisher does not accept liability for unsolicited materials. The publisher retains the right to republish all contributions and submitted material via the Internet and other media.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

The Publishers, Editor-in-Chief, Editorial Board, Correspondents and Editors make every effort to see that no inaccurate or misleading data.

this publication. opinion or statement appears in All data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the sole responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Therefore the publishers, Editor-in-Chief, Editorial Board, Correspondents, Editors and their respective employees accept no liability whatsoever for the consequences of any such inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statement

VERIFIED CIRCULATION

according to the standards of International Business Press Audits.

ICU Management & Practice is independently audited by Top Pro CY.





icu-management.org 😏@ICU_Management

INTENSIVE CARE | EMERGENCY MEDICINE | ANAESTHESIOLOGY | CARDIOLOGY | MANAGEMENT | LEADERSHIP