VOLUME 23 **ISSUE** 1



Biomarkers

Biomarkers for ARDS. What is New? A. A. Ceccato, A. Areny-Balagueró, M. Camprubí-Rimblas et al.

Biomarkers in Sepsis, D. Pan, W. Whalen, M. S. Niederman

Biomarkers of Infection in the Intensive Care Unit. R. C. Maves

Biomarkers in Sepsis - Present and Future, J. C. Ruiz-Rodríguez, L. Chiscano-Camón, A. Ruiz-Sanmartin et al.

The Footprints of a Gigantic Hound - Biomarkers in Intensive Care, J. Poole

Utility of Biomarkers in Obstetric Patients With Preeclampsia, C. A. Herrera-Venegas, F. Piña-Saucedo, A. Ortiz-Sibaja et al.

The Role of the Paediatrician in the Coordination Centre, L. Renter, E. Esteban, S. Brió-Sanagustin et al.

New German Law: Ex-post Triage Criminalised, A. Michalsen, C. Badewien

From the Other Side: Humanising Critical Medicine, S. Cruz, F. M. Alava, V. R. Zambrano et al.



Di Pan
Instructor in Medicine
Division of Pulmonary and
Critical Care Medicine
Weill Cornell Medical College
New York, NY
dip9063@med.cornell.edu



William Whalen
Instructor in Medicine
Division of Pulmonary and
Critical Care Medicine
Weill Cornell Medical College!
New York. NY



Michael S Niederman
Professor of Clinical Medicine
Division of Pulmonary and
Critical Care
Weill Cornell Medical College
New York, NY
msn9004@med.cornell.edu

Introduction: The Need for Biomarkers in Sepsis

Sepsis is defined as a dysregulated host response to infection that results in life threatening organ dysfunction. It carries an estimated 30-day mortality rate of 24.4% and increases to 34.7% in patients who develop shock (Singer et al. 2016; Bauer et al. 2020). A main pillar in sepsis management is the early initiation of antibiotics. However, inappropriate use of broad-spectrum antibiotics can lead to antimicrobial resistance and even increase mortality (Kumar et al. 2009; Rhee et al. 2020; Teshome et al. 2019). Identification of the 30.6% to 56.4% of patients with culture negative sepsis could prevent these adverse outcomes through the implementation of antibiotic de-escalation, and even in those with positive cultures, it may be possible to reduce the duration of therapy (Gupta et al. 2016; Kethireddy et al. 2018; Nanna

Biomarkers in Sepsis

This article describes the application of existing and emerging biomarkers in the diagnosis and management of sepsis and pneumonia.

Panday et al. 2019). In addition, current clinical and laboratory-based scoring systems lack sensitivity and specificity to guide clinicians in triage decision making and prognostication (Churpek et al. 2017; Freund et al. 2017; Raith et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2022). To aid in these dilemmas, clinicians have turned to biomarkers, defined as molecules that can be objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of underlying biological processes (Biomarkers Definitions Working Group 2001). The ideal biomarker in sepsis would aid in one or more of the following domains: 1) antibiotic initiation, and differentiation between bacterial infection, viral infection, and sterile inflammation; 2) antibiotic treatment duration; and 3) prognostication (Pierrakos et al. 2020). In this review we discuss these specific applications for the following existing and emerging biomarkers: procalcitonin, presepsin, pentraxin-3, and pancreatic stone proteins in sepsis. Finally, we discuss these biomarkers in comparison, as well as their multimodal application in sepsis.

Procalcitonin

Procalcitonin (PCT) is a protein precursor of calcitonin produced by the parafollicular C cells of the thyroid glands (Table 1) (Becker et al. 2004). In response to inflammation, PCT synthesis behaves as an acute phase reactant and is activated in peripheral tissues such as the kidney and liver, followed by subsequent increases in serum levels, up to 100,000 times normal levels, in the setting of bacterial infection (Christ-Crain and Müller 2007). This increase tends to be specifically observed in response to bacteria, but less so with viruses. Bacterial toxins and host cytokines appear to

increase PCT levels, whereas molecules produced in response to viruses attenuate PCT production (Becker et al. 2004; Christ-Crain and Müller 2007; Christ-Crain and Müller 2005). Given this property, PCT has been widely studied as a biomarker in sepsis, especially in relation to lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI). It has been evaluated in all aspects of biomarker application as previously described, which include guiding antibiotic initiation, determining antibiotic duration, and prognostication. Currently, its most relevant clinical utility is in guiding antibiotic duration, while its usefulness in other applications remains in debate. In current sepsis guidelines, it is recommended to use PCT, along with clinical data, to guide duration of therapy, and not for determining when to start therapy.

Diagnosis and Antibiotic Initiation

The use of PCT to guide antibiotic initiation has been studied in several randomised controlled clinical trials (RCT), with a majority in pulmonary infections and respiratory diseases that include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbations. These trials used serial measurements of PCT levels to determine the initiation or discontinuation of antibiotics, compared with standard practice, at the time the RCTs were conducted. Results of these trials have been conflicting. For example, two of the larger trials, the ProHOSP and the ProACT trial produced different results. The ProHOSP trial showed that a PCT based algorithm significantly shortened the average number of antibiotic days (5.7 vs 8.7 days), for respiratory infection, whereas the ProACT trial did not show a meaningful difference (4.2 vs

4.3 days) (Schuetz et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2018). An argument supporting the ProHOSP trial is that the ProACT trial was conducted nearly a decade after the ProHOSP trial when standard practice had already incorporated shorter antibiotic durations. This can be seen in the difference in average antibiotic days between the two RCTs. Nonetheless, other clinical outcomes such as adverse events and infection relapse were not significantly different between the trial groups.

Additional areas of ambiguity include varying levels of acceptable thresholds for antibiotic initiation. A level of ≥ 0.25 µg/L has been used as a general cut-off for bacterial infections; however studies in respiratory infections have demonstrated that no specific value adequately predicted bacterial infection (Self et al. 2017; Musher and Thorner 2014; Schuetz et al. 2017). As a result, professional guidelines such as the surviving sepsis campaign, and pneumonia treatment guidelines from the Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA)/American Thoracic Society (ATS), as well as the European Respiratory Society (ERS) have recommended against the reliance on PCT in guiding antibiotic initiation (Torres et al. 2017; Metlay et al. 2019; Kalil et al. 2016). Furthermore, while PCT can be specifically elevated in response to bacterial infection, false elevations can be observed in sterile inflammation. Examples include, but are not limited to pancreatitis, trauma, surgery, burns, and cardiac arrest (Mimoz et al. 1998; Annborn et al. 2013; Meisner et al. 1998; Kylänpää-Bäck et al. 2001).

Antibiotic Duration

Recent data support the use of PCT in guiding antibiotic duration. Three RCTs involving critically ill sepsis patients – the PRORATA, SAPS, and PROGRESS TRIALS – have shown that serial measurements, with decreasing PCT levels, can be used as a safe metric for antibiotic discontinuation in conjunction with best clinical judgment (Bouadma et al. 2010; de Jong et al. 2016; Kyriazopoulou et al.

2021). The trials used ≤0.5 μg/L or an 80% reduction from baseline level as a guide for antibiotic discontinuation. All three trials found a significantly shorter antibiotic duration with PCT-guided treatment. The PRORATA trial showed no significant difference in mortality and re-infection risk. However, in the SAPS and PROGRESS trials, significant mortality reductions at 28 days (also reduction in 1-year mortality for the SAPS trial) were observed. Furthermore, the PROGRESS trial, which was initially designed to assess the aetiology behind the mortality reduction observed in the SAPS trial, found reduction in long-term adverse outcomes with PCT-guided treatment such as acute kidney injury, and diarrhoea. However, colonisation by multidrug resistant organisms (MDRO) was similar between the two groups.

Prognostication

The prognostic value of PCT had been primarily evaluated in LRTIs and can be valuable in clinical practice when combined with other risk prediction models. Initial PCT elevations, and more so, up trending levels have been associated with increased mortality or treatment failure in patients with LRTI. These findings were observed in a meta-analysis of 14 trials, as well as in several observational studies (Kutz et al. 2015; Boussekey et al. 2006; Bloos et al. 2011). Much like in antibiotic initiation, no cut-off value for a single measurement had been specifically associated with poor outcomes. Furthermore, studies have reported conflicting results as to whether PCT levels are truly predictive of mortality (Ryoo et al. 2019). A possible approach may be to use serial measurements for prognostication, which can potentially carry more value as has been the case in guiding antibiotic duration.

Presepsin

Presepsin is an emerging immunological biomarker that is a soluble form of CD14. CD14 is a surface glycoprotein and member of the Toll-like receptors,

expressed by macrophages and monocytes, with affinity to bacterial ligands such as lipopolysaccharide (Xiao et al. 2022). In the presence of bacterial pathogens, presepsin levels increase early, as it is a by-product of the innate immune response (Chenevier-Gobeaux et al. 2015). This is particularly advantageous in identifying bacterial sepsis early in the disease course (Leli et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2017). While it is currently not widely available, presepsin has been evaluated in guiding the diagnosis of bacterial sepsis, antibiotic duration, and sepsis prognosis.

Diagnosis of Bacterial Sepsis

Presepsin has the potential to distinguish bacterial infections from nonbacterial infections as a cause of sepsis, based on several observational studies. Higher presepsin levels have been associated with bacterial sepsis compared to non-bacterial sepsis, consistent with its underlying biology (Pugni et al. 2015; Masson et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2013; Endo et al. 2012). Threshold values indicative of bacterial sepsis were reported in the 600 ng/L range, with reported sensitivity of 87.8% and specificity of 81.3% (Endo et al. 2012). However, the range of values varies across studies, as well as its predictive value (Azim 2021). Therefore, at this time there are no guidelines or recommendations for an accepted discriminant value until further studies are conducted.

Antibiotic Duration

Much like PCT, presepsin has potential value for improving antibiotic stewardship and contributing to decisions to escalate or de-escalate antibiotic therapy. In an unrandomised, multicentre trial in China of 656 patients, a presepsinguided treatment protocol was compared to standard of care (Xiao et al. 2022). Antibiotic therapy was discontinued when levels reached below 350 pg/mL or decreased by ≥80% from baseline. There were no significant differences in 28-day mortality, and the presepsin

group had a significant reduction in antibiotic days compared to standard of care (14.54 vs 11.01 days). In contrast to decreasing levels, persistently elevated or rising presepsin concentration has been associated with inadequate treatment and persistent positive blood cultures (Masson et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2013; Endo et al. 2012; Azim 2021; Kweon et al. 2014). Therefore, in addition to antibiotic de-escalation, presepsin might be able to be used to escalate therapy when clinically appropriate.

Prognostication

Presepsin also has potential in prognostication in sepsis, such as predicting mortality, disease severity, and adverse outcomes. Initially elevated levels or persistently increasing levels have been associated with adverse outcomes across multiple studies (Masson et al. 2015; Kweon et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2017). These include the development of acute kidney injury, increased lengths of hospitalisation, increased ventilator days, increased vasopressor duration, decreased infection clearance, and mortality.

Overall, additional studies are needed to support presepsin's potential clinical applications. Nonetheless, the current available data show a promising future for this biomarker in guiding sepsis management.

Pentraxin 3

Pentraxin 3 (PTX3) is involved in the innate immune response as it is produced by dendritic cells, monocytes, and macrophages (along with many others) upon stimulation of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFα and IL-1β, or damageassociated molecular patterns (Magrini et al. 2016). PTX3 can then promote inflammation through complement activation and opsonisation but also attenuate the immune response by binding P-selectin on neutrophils, decreasing their recruitment to sites of inflammation (Bottazzi et al. 2010; Deban et al. 2010). PTX3 can be an ideal biomarker in sepsis as levels increase with disease severity, for example from systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) to septic shock (Muller et al. 2001).

Diagnostic Applications

As an acute phase protein, PTX3 can aide in clinical diagnosis of sepsis. In patients who presented to the emergency department (ED), PTX3 was found to be elevated in patients with severe sepsis compared to those without severe sepsis (median value 16.7 ng/mL vs 4.9 ng/mL, p < 0.001) and a cut-off value of 14.1 ng/mL was associated with a

and emerging biomarkers hold promise in guiding sepsis management, no biomarker is perfect and yields variable predictive capabilities in its diagnostic and prognostic utilities

higher odds of having severe sepsis (odds ratio 6.77, 95% CI 3.64 - 12.59, p < 0.001) (Uusitalo-Seppala et al. 2013). In a study of 213 intensive care unit (ICU) patients with sepsis and septic shock PTX3 correlated well with higher lactate, the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) and the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score. On day 1 of ICU care, a cut-off value of 5 ng/mL and 9 ng/mL for septic shock provided a sensitivity of 98 and 93% but only a specificity of 79 and 45% indicating that this can be a good test in ruling out these disease states (Hamed et al. 2017).

Prognostic Applications

Elevated levels of PTX3 have shown prognostic utility in predicting future organ failure and disease severity (Huttunen et al. 2011; Bastrup-Birk et al. 2013). Multiple studies have shown that elevated

PTX3 levels were associated with worse mortality in patients with sepsis and septic shock (Uusitalo-Seppala et al. 2013; Bastrup-Birk et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2021; Jie et al. 2017; Perez-San Martin et al. 2020). A recent meta-analysis has confirmed the association of elevated PTX3 levels and mortality (hazard ratio 2.09; 95% CI 1.55 - 2.81 p < 0.001, AUC 0.73; 95% CI 0.7 - 0.77 p < 0.001); however there was high heterogeneity in the studies analysed and the optimal cut-off value for mortality prediction remains uncertain (Wang et al. 2022).

In summary, PTX3 appears to be a useful biomarker in predicting disease severity and mortality. However, more well balanced and larger studies should be performed before any definitive conclusions can be made.

Pancreatic Stone Protein

Pancreatic stone protein (PSP) is a molecule secreted primarily by the pancreas, but also in other parenchymal cells during the stress response (Terazono et al. 1988). It was formerly identified as two separate molecules, lithostathine and regenerating protein 1. However, they were later found to be similar and eventually renamed PSP (Terazono et al. 1988). The various functions of PSP remain under investigation and continue to be unravelled. However, it has been recognised to play a role in inflammation and the innate immune response, such as activation of polymorphonuclear cells (Eggimann et al. 2019). Concentrations of PSP have been observed to increase in response to stress and trauma, especially when the inciting event leads to infection and sepsis (Reding et al. 2017). Given this property, PSP has been studied both as a diagnostic biomarker to identify sepsis, and as a prognostic marker to evaluate treatment response.

Diagnosis of Sepsis

The utility of PSP comes from its potential for the early identification of sepsis, even before the onset of clinical signs and symptoms. Serial serum measurements

of PSP increase leading up to nosocomial sepsis with elevated levels being detected as early as five days prior (Pugin et al. 2021). Similarly, in a study involving burn patients, PSP showed a steep rise 72 hours prior to the development of sepsis and was able to differentiate between septic and non-septic patients (Klein et al. 2021). At the point of admission, PSP can be highly accurate in the diagnosis of sepsis with infection compared to patients without infection (AUC 0.839; 95% CI 0.773 - 0.904), and those with sepsis compared to a non-infectious SIRS (AUC 0.91; 95% CI 0.86 - 0.96) (Garcia de Guadiana-Romualdo et al. 2017; Llewelyn et al. 2013). A recent systematic review and patient level meta-analysis showed that PSP has moderate diagnostic accuracy for the discrimination of septic vs non-septic patients with a specificity of 0.83 and sensitivity of 0.66 using a cut-off of value of 44.18 ng/mL (Prazak et al. 2021). Finally, the implementation of a PSP decision tree model in patients with suspected sepsis led to a reduction in healthcare costs in the ED and ICU

compared to the standard of care. This indicates that PSP has utility in not just sepsis diagnosis but also in healthcare cost reduction (Schneider et al. 2022).

Prognostication

In a prospective cohort study, Que et al. (2012) studied whether PSP drawn within 24 hours of admission could predict in-hospital mortality. Median levels of PSP were elevated in patients who died, compared to those that survived (397 ng/mL vs. 216.1 ng/mL, p < 0.02). However, the overall prognostic accuracy was moderate at best and the prognostic accuracy for in-hospital mortality was poor (AUC 0.65; 95% CI 0.51 – 0.80). The poor prognostic accuracy of PSP can be improved with the addition of a clinical severity score measure such as the APACHE II or the Simplified Acute Physiology Score II (SAPS II) (Que et al. 2015). Further research involving large cohorts of patients with sepsis and septic shock are needed to further clarify the prognostic implications of PSP.

Comparison of PCT, Presepsin, PTX3, and PSP

Since PCT is one of the earlier and most studied biomarkers in sepsis and LRTI, its utility has been compared to the newer and emerging biomarkers. Liu et al. (2013) examined the prognostic accuracy of presepsin compared to PCT in the context of patients presenting to the ED with SIRS. They found that using a cut-off value of 317 pg/mL for presepsin produced a sensitivity of 70.8% and specificity of 85.8% compared to a sensitivity of 60% and specificity of 77.7% for PCT (cut-off value of 0.25 ng/ mL). These findings are divergent from a recent prospective observational study of 420 patients with non-infectious organ failure, sepsis, and septic shock where PCT (cut-off 0.51 ng/mL, sensitivity 75.5%, specificity 93%) was marginally better at differentiating patients with sepsis compared to non-infectious organ failure than presepsin (cut-off 582 pg/ mL, sensitivity 70.1%, specificity 89.4%) (Lee et al. 2022).

Biomarker	Properties	Diagnostic Ability	Prognostic Utility
Procalcitonin	- Protein precursor of calcitonin, produced by parafollicular C cells Acute phase reactant, levels are higher in bacterial than viral infections.	- Cut-off value of ≥0.25 μg/L can help distinguish bacterial from viral respiratory infections Current IDSA/ATS and ERS guidelines recommend against using this solely to guide antibiotic initiation.	-Reduction of more than 80% from initial values can help guide antibiotic discontinuationElevated or persistently increasing values are associated with increased mortality.
Presepsin	- Soluble form of CD14 Levels increase in setting of bacterial pathogens.	-Higher levels in bacterial sepsis compared to non-bacterial sepsis.	- Can be used to determine antibiotic duration.
Pentraxin- 3	- Secreted by cells within the innate immune system upon stimulation by pro- inflammatory cytokines Levels track with disease severity.	- Elevated in patients with severe sepsis Higher levels correlate with elevated lactate, APACHE II, and SOFA score Highly sensitive for ruling out sepsis and septic shock.	-Elevated levels can predict mortality, disease severity, acute kidney injury, and ventilator days.
Pancreatic Stone Protein	Secreted by the pancreas.Levels increase in stress and trauma.Plays a role in the innate immune response.	-Able to identify sepsis up to 5 days prior to onset Identifies sepsis from non-infectious inflammation.	- Moderate prognostic accuracy for in- hospital mortality but improves when combined with clinical measures (e.g. APACHE II).

Table 1. Current and Emerging Biomarkers in Sepsis

Abbreviations: APACHE II (Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II), SOFA (Sequential Organ Failure Assessment), IDSA (Infectious Disease Society of America), ATS (American Thoracic Society), ERS (European Respiratory Society).

PTX3 has shown comparable accuracy for sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of septic shock compared to PCT (PTX3; AUC 0.73, 95% CI 0.66 – 0.80 vs PCT; AUC 0.73, 95% CI 0.65 – 0.80) but is slightly worse when it comes to the diagnosis of sepsis (PTX3; AUC 0.68, 95% CI 0.58 – 0.78 vs PCT; AUC 0.79, 95% CI 0.70 – 0.88) (Chen et al. 2021). PTX3 and PCT perform equally well for 28-day mortality prediction in patients with a diagnosis of sepsis but ultimately perform worse compared to clinical scores such as the APACHE II (Hu et al. 2018).

When compared to PCT, PSP performs equally well in distinguishing patients with sepsis from those without (Pugin et al. 2021). A patient level meta-analysis found that both PCT and PSP perform equally well in diagnosing hospitalised patients with an infection, with superior accuracy when both biomarkers were combined (Prazak et al. 2021).

While existing and emerging biomarkers hold promise in guiding sepsis management, no biomarker is perfect and yields variable predictive capabilities in its diagnostic and prognostic utilities. As with every clinical tool, biomarkers play a role as adjuncts to broader clinical assessments in helping us predict clinical outcomes. Combining biomarkers

Multi-Marker Application in Sepsis

with every clinical tool, biomarkers play a role as adjuncts to broader clinical assessments in helping us predict clinical outcomes. Combining biomarkers together with existing predictors, such as the SOFA score, may have promise in improving predictive performance in both the diagnostic and prognostic arenas.

Mearelli et al. (2018) evaluated the performance of predictive models incorporating multiple serum biomarkers including PCT, phospholipase A, group IIA (sPLA, GIIA), presepsin, soluble interleukin-2 receptor α (sCD25), and soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cell (sTREM-1) to determine whether patients had infectious vs non-infectious SIRS. The model was trained on 947 adults presenting to the ED of five Italian university hospitals and validated in 185 adults. The patients were stratified into low, intermediate, and high probability of infection based on a predictive nomogram, and the models were assessed in each group. In the intermediate probability group, the multi-marker model had the highest predictive capability in identifying infectious SIRS, yielding an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) of 0.85 (95% confidence interval 0.778-0.923) for patients with sepsis or septic shock. The individual biomarkers showed lower AUROCs ranging from 0.56 to 0.77 (Mearelli et al. 2018).

A combination of biomarkers and clinical features was also studied in predicting prognosis in patients with sepsis. Kim et. al (2017) evaluated a combination of SOFA score, white blood cell count, C-reactive protein, PCT, presepsin, galectin-3, soluble suppression of tumourigenicity 2 (sST2) in 157 patients with either sepsis or septic shock. The predictive performance of the model improved with stepwise addition of each biomarker in addition to the SOFA

score. The multi-marker approach with SOFA showed an AUROC of 0.769 (95% confidence interval 0.695 – 0.833) vs SOFA score alone with an AUROC of 0.615 (95% confidence interval 0.535 – 0.692). Although this study was limited by its small sample size, the initial results pave a way for future research to re-evaluate the multi-marker approach further.

Conclusion and Future Directions

As the role of biomarkers in sepsis continues to evolve, current evidence lends strong support for the diagnostic and prognostic utility of PCT, presepsin, PTX3, PSP, and their combination with other existing clinical predictors in patients with sepsis and septic shock. In assessing the literature, it is key to focus on whether data are being used for sepsis diagnosis, prognosis, or to determine duration of therapy. Further research involving these biomarkers should focus on determining the optimal cut-off levels for the diagnosis of sepsis, and the development of clinical decision tools that incorporates these biomarkers to aid clinicians in predicting and improving outcomes in these patients.

Conflict of Interest

Dr Di Pan and Dr William Whalen have no conflict of interest to declare. Dr Michael S Niederman has been a consultant to Thermo Fisher, but has no other relevant disclosures.

References

Annborn M, Dankiewicz J, Erlinge D et al. [2013] Procalcitonin after cardiac arrest - an indicator of severity of illness, ischemia-reperfusion injury and outcome. Resuscitation. 84(6):782-7.

Azim A (2021) Presepsin: A Promising Biomarker for Sepsis. Indian J Crit Care Med. 25(2):117-118.

Bastrup-Birk S, Skjoedt MO, Munthe-Fog L et al. (2013) Pentraxin-3 serum levels are associated with disease severity and mortality in patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome. PLoS One. 8(9):e73119.

Bauer M, Gerlach H, Vogelmann T et al. (2020) Mortality in sepsis and septic shock in Europe, North America and

Australia between 2009 and 2019- results from a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care. 24(1):239.

Becker KL, Nylén ES, White JC et al. [2004] Clinical review 167: Procalcitonin and the calcitonin gene family of peptides in inflammation, infection, and sepsis: a journey from calcitonin back to its precursors. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 89(4):1512-25.

Biomarkers Definitions Working Group (2001) Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: preferred definitions and conceptual framework. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 69(3):89–95.

Bloos F, Marshall JC, Dellinger RP et al. (2011) Multinational, observational study of procalcitonin in ICU patients with pneumonia requiring mechanical ventilation: a multicenter observational study. Crit Care. 15(2):R88.

Bottazzi B, Doni A, Garlanda C, Mantovani A [2010] An integrated view of humoral innate immunity: pentraxins as a paradigm. Annu Rev Immunol. 28:157-83.

Bouadma L, Luyt CE, Tubach F et al. (2010) Use of procalcitonin to reduce patients' exposure to antibiotics in intensive care units (PRORATA trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 375(9713):463-74.

Boussekey N, Leroy O, Alfandari S et al. [2006] Devos P, Georges H, Guery B. Procalcitonin kinetics in the prognosis of severe community-acquired pneumonia. Intensive Care Med. 32[3]:469-72.

For full references, please email editorial@icu-management org or visit https://iii.hm/1j7i

